arXiv:cond-mat/0505679v2 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 8 Jun 2005

Chaos and residual correlations in pinned disordered systems

Pierre Le Doussal[1]
[1]*CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 Rue Lhomond 75231 Paris, France*

(Dated: May 27 2005)

We study, using functional renormalization (FRG), two copies of an elastic system pinned by mutually correlated random potentials. Short scale decorrelation depend on a non trivial boundary layer regime with (possibly multiple) chaos exponents. Large scale mutual displacement correlation behave as $|x-x'|^{2\zeta-\mu}$, the decorrelation exponent μ proportional to the difference between Flory (or mean field) and exact roughness exponent ζ. For short range disorder $\mu > 0$ but small, e.g. for random bond interfaces $\mu = 5\zeta - \epsilon$, $\epsilon = 4-d$, and $\mu = \epsilon(\frac{(2\pi)^2}{36} - 1)$ for the one component Bragg glass. Random field (i.e long range) disorder exhibits finite residual correlations (no chaos $\mu = 0$) described by new FRG fixed points. Temperature and dynamic chaos (depinning) are discussed.

Low energy configurations in glass phases induced by quenched disorder are non trivial and their statistics are far from fully characterized. It was proposed [1, 2, 3] that they exhibit "static chaos" i.e. extreme sensitivity to a small change of external parameters, denoted δ (e.g. disorder, temperature, interactions). Suitably defined correlations, or overlaps, between two (sub)systems are argued to decay to zero beyond the overlap length L_δ, which diverges at small δ as $L_\delta \sim \delta^{-1/\alpha}$, α the chaos exponent. A phenomenological droplet description predicts [2] $\alpha = \frac{d_s}{2} - \theta$ where $-\theta$ is the thermal eigenvalue and d_s the droplet (fractal) dimension, which should apply to spin glasses as well as to disordered elastic systems (there $d_s = d$) [3]. Numerical simulations [4] have qualitatively at least confirmed this picture for systems of low dimensions d. In higher d and in mean field models, the presence of (temperature) chaos is far more elusive and it is still highly debated whether L_δ is infinite, or extremely large [5]. Chaos is a central issue for the theory of glasses and can be explored in many experimental systems either by varying external parameters or constructing actual correlated copies [6, 7, 8]. One wants to develop analytical tools beyond mean field for this problem, and also investigate residual correlations at large scale.

Here we focus on the simplest class, elastic manifolds in random potentials. Upon an applied force f they exhibit a depinning transition, also with non trivial rough configurations [14]. They have numerous experimental applications for pinning of e.g. domain walls in magnets [9], electronic crystals, density waves [10], vortices in superconductors [11, 12], and wetting [13]. They exhibit energy dominated glass fixed points where temperature is irrelevant, $T_L \sim L^{-\theta}$, $\theta = d - 2 + 2\zeta$ the free energy fluctuation exponent, ζ the roughness exponent of the pinned configurations $u \sim L^\zeta$. Chaos in pinned manifolds was studied mostly via scaling arguments [15]. The directed polymer ($d = 1$) was studied numerically and via analytical arguments for $N = 1$ indicating $\alpha = 1/6$ in agreement with droplets [16], and recently, on hierarchical lattices [17]. In $d = 2$ chaos was demonstrated for periodic systems near the glass transition T_g using the Cardy Ostlund RG, and linked to universal susceptibility fluctuations as in mesoscopic disordered metals [18].

Pinned manifolds can be studied using functional renormalization (FRG) within an expansion in $\epsilon = 4 - d$, to one loop [19, 20] and recently to higher orders [21]. In the large N limit [22] it matches results from mean field theory [23]. The main aim of this paper is to investigate static chaos using the FRG. It also opens the way to investigate "dynamic chaos" in driven situations, e.g. at depinning $f = f_c$, or in moving phases [24], where no other analytical tool seems available. Results also provide a test for the FRG, as a complete understanding of the field theory (FT) at low temperature remains a challenge. In particular we unveil a chaos boundary layer structure of the FT encoding for switches between low lying states, which parallels the one found to encode for rare thermal fluctuations [25, 26].

We first investigate how two identical copies of a disordered elastic system decorrelate when they experience slightly different disorder at $T = 0$. Consider the hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{1}{T} \sum_i \int d^dx [\frac{1}{2}(\nabla u^i)^2 + V_i(u^i(x), x)] \qquad (1)$$

where the two copies $i = 1, 2$ are not mutually interacting. They feel two different but mutually correlated random potentials taken, with no loss of generality to be gaussian with a correlation matrix:

$$\overline{V_i(u, x)V_j(u', x')} = R_{ij}(u - u')\delta^d(x - x') \qquad (2)$$

and $R_{ij}(u) = R_{ij}(-u)$. We denote the forces $F_i(x, u) = \partial_u V_i(u, x)$. This is thus a two layer version of the standard pinned elastic system, and the only "coupling" is due to the statistical correlations. For a short range (SR) function $R(u)$ it models an interface with random bond (RB) disorder, for a long range (LR) function $R(u) \sim \sigma|u|$ at large u it describes an interface in a random field (RF) of local variance σ. For a periodic $R(u)$ it models a pinned one component CDW or Bragg glass, with generalizations to N-component displacements u_α.

We start with the case where all $R_{ij}(u)$ behave similarly at large u, e.g. $V_i = V \pm W\delta$, V and W being uncorrelated and identically distributed. The correlations are characterized by the matrix ($\langle .. \rangle$ are thermal averages):

$$C_{ij}(x - x') = \overline{< (u^i(x) - u^i(x'))(u^j(x) - u^j(x')) >}$$

The behaviour usually assumed from scaling arguments:

$$C_{12}(x) = x^{2\zeta} f(\delta x^{\alpha}) \tag{3}$$

corresponds to an overlap length $L_\delta \sim \delta^{-1/\alpha}$. Here we show how to obtain (3) from FRG and compute the decay at large distance:

$$C_{12}(x) \sim_{x\to\infty} x^{2\zeta - \mu} \tag{4}$$

We study the statics using the replicated Hamiltonian:

$$H_{rep} = \frac{1}{2T} \int d^d x \sum_{ai} (\nabla u^i_a)^2 - \frac{1}{2T^2} \sum_{abij} R_{ij}(u^i_a - u^j_b) \tag{5}$$

One performs either Wilson RG varying the short scale momentum cutoff $\Lambda_l = \Lambda e^{-l}$, or, equivalently to one loop, compute the effective action of a uniform mode adding a small mass (i.e. a term $\frac{1}{2} m^2 u_i^2$ in (1)) with $m_l = m e^{-l}$. Defining the rescaled dimensionless correlator through $R_{ij}(u) = A_d^{-1} m_l^{\epsilon - 4\zeta} \tilde{R}_{ij}(u m_l^{\zeta})$, with $A_4^{-1} = 8\pi^2$, one derives the $T = 0$ one loop FRG equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_l \tilde{R}_{ij}(u) &= (\epsilon - 4\zeta)\tilde{R}_{ij}(u) + \zeta u \tilde{R}'_{ij}(u) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}''_{ij}(u)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}''_{ij}(u)(\tilde{R}''_{ii}(0) + \tilde{R}''_{jj}(0)) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We also use their dynamical version, in terms of random force correlators $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij} = -\tilde{R}''_{ij}$, which, to one loop, describes also the depinning threshold $f = f_c$, via standard dynamical techniques [21, 25]. Considering identical disorder in each layer, $\tilde{\Delta}(u) \equiv \tilde{\Delta}_{12}(u)$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_l \tilde{\Delta}(u) &= (\epsilon - 2\zeta)\tilde{\Delta}(u) + \zeta u \tilde{\Delta}'(u) \\ &- \tilde{\Delta}'(u)^2 - \tilde{\Delta}''(u)(\tilde{\Delta}(u) - \tilde{\Delta}(0)) + \hat{T}\tilde{\Delta}''(u) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and we have denoted the positive quantity

$$\hat{T} = \tilde{\Delta}_{11}(0) - \tilde{\Delta}(0) = \frac{1}{2}\overline{(F_1(0,x) - F_2(0,x))^2} \tag{8}$$

measuring the difference between the disorders in the two layers (at the bare level $\hat{T}_{l=0} \sim \delta^2$). $\tilde{\Delta}_{11}(u) = \tilde{\Delta}_{22}(u)$ obey identical uncoupled equations, same as (7) setting $\hat{T} = 0$, and is known to develop a cusp and become non analytic beyond the Larkin length $R_c = e^{l_c}/\Lambda$. $\hat{T}$ in (8) *formally* plays in the FRG equation a role reminiscent to a "temperature" in rounding the cusp at $u = 0$. Its flow under RG and its physics are however very different from temperature and must be here *determined self consistently* from (7,8). It is rather a static random force, which encodes static displacements below some scale, and broadens the interlayer correlator preventing it from becoming non analytic: $\Delta_{12}(u^t_1 + f_1 - u^{t'}_2 - f_2) \to \frac{1}{2}\overline{(f_1 - f_2)^2}\Delta''_{12}(u^t_1 - u^{t'}_2)$ with $f_i \equiv F_i(0,q)/q^2$ (in Fourier). This term arises because the statistical translational invariance (of disorder under $u^i_a(x) \to u^i_a(x) + f_i(x)$) present in each layer [18], does not hold for mutual displacements. There is no mutual pinning, the two layers are pinned independently, but there is some residual correlation [27].

Let us analyze the flow equation (7) for very small $\delta^2 \sim \hat{T}_0$. Below R_c the non linear terms are unimportant: the unrescaled $\Delta(0)$ and $\Delta_{11}(0)$ do not flow, $\hat{T}_l = e^{(\epsilon - 2\zeta)l}\hat{T}_0$ and $\tilde{\Delta}(u)$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_{11}(u)$ remain approximately equal. Very near R_c, when $\hat{T}_l \tilde{\Delta}''_{11}(0) \sim \epsilon \tilde{\Delta}_{11}(0)$, then $\tilde{\Delta}(u)$ starts to differ from $\tilde{\Delta}_{11}(u)$ within a boundary layer (BL) region of width $u \sim \hat{T}_{l_c} \ll 1$ around $u = 0$ and remains analytic. Outside of this BL region, for $u \sim O(1)$, $\tilde{\Delta}(u)$ is still almost equal to $\tilde{\Delta}_{11}(u)$ which then converges to the non-analytic FP function $\tilde{\Delta}^*_{11}(u)$. The flow of $\tilde{\Delta}(u)$ beyond R_c, and its BL form, is much more difficult to study. For the case at hand (all $\tilde{R}_{ij}$ with similar large u behaviours), it is clear that $\hat{T}_l$ keeps growing slowly. Two important questions are thus (i) how to characterize this growth, i.e. the overlap length (ii) where does it lead to, i.e. whether there is a finite $\hat{T}$ fixed point for $\tilde{\Delta}(u)$, i.e. are there residual correlations. We first address (ii) and start with the periodic problem.

1- Periodic problem (CDW, Bragg glass): one assumes that fixed points $\tilde{\Delta}_{11,l}(u) = \tilde{\Delta}^*_{11}(u) = \tilde{\Delta}^*_{22}(u) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{36} - \frac{1}{6}u(1-u)$ are reached within each layer. Using [25], one finds that there is no non-trivial $\hat{T} > 0$ fixed point. Thus, $\tilde{\Delta}(u) = \tilde{\Delta}_{12}(u)$ flows slowly to zero as:

$$\partial_l \tilde{\Delta}(u) = \epsilon \tilde{\Delta}(u) + (\epsilon/36)\tilde{\Delta}''(u) \tag{9}$$

The leading decay, using potentiality $\int_0^1 \tilde{\Delta}(u) = 0$, is $\Delta_l(u) = g_l \cos(2\pi u)$ with $\partial_l g_l = \epsilon(1 - \frac{(2\pi)^2}{36})g_l$. The correlation (3) results from the FRG flow of $\tilde{\Delta}$ from repulsive to attractive FP and the general formula $C_{ij}(q) = \Delta_{ij,l}(0)/(q^2 + m^2)^2$, exact for $q = 0$, $m = m_l$ and to $O(\epsilon)$ (one loop) accuracy for $q \sim m$ ($q = \Lambda_l$, $m = 0$ for Wilson RG). At large scale ($\zeta = 0$ for the periodic problem):

$$C_{12}(q) \approx \tilde{\Delta}_{l,12}(0) q^{-4} e^{(2\zeta - \epsilon)l}|_{l = \ln(\frac{1}{q})} \sim q^{-d - 2\zeta + \mu} \tag{10}$$

Thus the correlations of the displacements in the two samples decay as in Eq. (4) with:

$$\mu = \epsilon((4\pi^2/36) - 1) + O(\epsilon^2) \tag{11}$$

For general periodic problem, e.g. the higher component Bragg glass, to $O(\epsilon)$ one finds $\mu = \epsilon(\tilde{\Delta}^*_{\alpha\beta}(0) K^0_\alpha K^0_\beta - 1)$ thus $\mu = \eta_{K_0} - \epsilon$, where η_{K_0} is the Bragg glass exponent for decay of translational order in a single copy $\overline{< e^{iK_0 u^1_x} e^{-iK_0 u^1_{x'}} >} \sim x^{-\eta_{K_0}}$. One can compare the prediction (11) with the one from Ref. [18] in $d = 2$ near $T = T_g$. There, disorder generates an additional term $\delta H_{rep} = \frac{-1}{2T}\int_x[\sigma\nabla u_a^i\nabla u_b^i + 2\hat{\sigma}\nabla u_a^1\nabla u_b^2]$ in (5), with σ_l growing as Bl and $\hat{\sigma}_l \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^*$. This implies that $C_{ii}(x) \sim B\ln^2|x|$ while $C_{12}(x) \sim \hat{\sigma}^*\ln|x|$, i.e. no absolute decay of interlayer correlations ($\mu = 0$), although decay relative to intralayer ones. A numerical study [28] is in progress to determine if $\hat{\sigma}^* > 0$ at $T = 0$ [29].

2- Short range (random bond) disorder: There also we find that $\tilde{\Delta}(u)$ flows to small values. Thus we study the linearized part of (7,8) around $\tilde{\Delta}(u) = 0$. It is equivalent, and simpler, to study directly the unrescaled linearized RG equation for $R = R_{12}$, $\partial_l R(u) = -e^{\epsilon l}R''_{11}(0)R''(u)$. Since each layer reaches a fixed point, i.e. $\tilde{R}_{11}(u) \rightarrow \tilde{R}^*_{11}(u)$, one has $R''_{11}(0) \sim e^{(-\epsilon+2\zeta)l}\tilde{R}^{*\prime\prime}_{11}(0)$. Thus $R_l(u)$ converges to a diffusion front ($R_{l=0}(u)$ being peaked):

$$R_l(u) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D_l}}e^{-u^2/(4D_l)}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}du' R_l(u') \quad (12)$$

with $D_l = \int_0^l dl' e^{2\zeta l'} \sim e^{2\zeta l}$. Thus $\Delta_l(0) = -R''_l(0) \sim D_l^{-3/2} \sim e^{-3\zeta l}$ and from (10) one finds (4) with:

$$\mu = \mu_{RB} = 5\zeta - \epsilon \quad (13)$$

always strictly positive (to one loop $\zeta_{RB} = 0.28\epsilon$), i.e. the FP $\tilde{\Delta} = 0$ is attractive. This is easily generalized to the $O(N)$ model with the result $\mu_{SR} = (N+4)\zeta - \epsilon$, replacing $R''_{11}(0)$ by $\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta R_{11}(0) \sim \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and considering diffusion in a N-dimensional space. Finally, one shows that connected correlations of the free energies F_i of each layer are given by $\overline{F_iF_j}^c = R_{ij}(0)L^d$ [30]. From (12) we find that the decay of energy correlations is also of the form $\overline{F_1F_2} \sim L^{2\theta-\mu_{RB}}$.

It is noteworthy that the replica variational method [23] yields Flory exponents, i.e. $\mu = 0$. The FRG captures the physics of the decorrelations beyond the Flory approximation. Since (13) results from linear FRG at $\tilde{\Delta}^* = 0$, one may look for simple interpretation. Since each ground state satisfies $\nabla^2 u^i(x) = F_i(u^i(x), x)$, residual correlations in position can be interpreted from correlation in pinning force resulting from accidental encounters $u^1 = u^2$ (i.e. averaging $\nabla^2 u^1\nabla^2 u^2$, neglecting *all* correlations on the r.h.s. and using $u^i \sim L^\zeta$ yields (4,13)). This argument (see also [17]), is delicate however, since dimensional estimate (Flory) fail here, as well as attempts to make it self-consistent. In fact, linear analysis still involves non trivial $\tilde{\Delta}^*_{11}$ fixed point and (13) is confirmed by FRG [31].

3- Long range (random field) disorder: A different scenario occurs there. Using now the linearized unrescaled $\Delta(u)$ equation, i.e. $\partial_l\Delta(u) = e^{\epsilon l}\Delta_{11}(0)\Delta''(u)$, yields a diffusion form for $\Delta_l(u)$ with now $D_l \sim e^{2\zeta l}$, thus $\mu = 3\zeta - \epsilon$. Since for the RF case $\zeta = \epsilon/3$ to all orders (i.e. Flory is exact), the flow near $\tilde{\Delta} = 0$ is *marginal* along *a line of FRG fixed points*. These new FP describe the residual (i.e. large scale) mutual correlations, which we now describe. In the RF case, remarkably, the fixed point equation can be integrated for any fixed $\hat{T}$ [25]. Also the values of $\int_0^{+\infty}du\Delta_{ij}(u) = -R'_{ij}(u = +\infty) = \sigma_{ij}$ are invariants (exactly to all orders) of the FRG flow, where we denote $\sigma_{11} = \sigma$ and $\sigma_{12} = \sigma' = \sigma(1-\delta^2)$ the bare variances of inter and intra layer random fields. Defining rescaled variances $A_d\sigma = \epsilon\tilde{\sigma}$, $A_d\sigma' = \epsilon\tilde{\sigma}'$, the function $\gamma(\tau) = \int_0^1 dy\sqrt{y - 1 - (1+\tau)\ln y}$, a reduced temperature $\tau = 3\hat{T}/(\epsilon\xi^2)$ and the two lengths $\xi_0^3 = \frac{3\tilde{\sigma}}{\sqrt{2}\gamma(0)}$ and $\xi^3 = \frac{3\tilde{\sigma}'}{\sqrt{2}\gamma(\tau)}$, the fixed point functions are then:

$$\tilde{\Delta}^*_{11}(u) = \frac{\epsilon}{3}\xi_0^2 y_0(u/\xi_0) \quad , \quad \tilde{\Delta}^*(u) = \frac{\epsilon}{3}\xi^2 y_\tau(u/\xi)$$
$$y_\tau(x) - 1 - (1+\tau)\ln y_\tau(x) = x^2/2 \quad (14)$$

and $y_\tau(0) = 1$. While $\tilde{\Delta}^*_{11}(u)$ is the standard RF T=0 FP with a cusp non-analyticity at $u = 0$, $\tilde{\Delta}^*(u)$ has the characteristic shape of a finite temperature FP rounded by a (Chaos) BL of width $u \sim \hat{T}$. To find this FP one needs to determine $\hat{T}$ (i.e. τ) for given RF variances σ, σ'. It is given by the self consistency condition (8), or equivalently $\xi^2(1+\tau) = \xi_0^2$. This yields:

$$\sigma'/\sigma = (1+\tau)^{-3/2}\gamma(\tau)/\gamma(0) \quad (15)$$

which determines τ given σ'/σ, from which we obtain ξ, then $\hat{T}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}^*(u)$. One sees from (15) that there is indeed a fixed point $\tilde{\Delta}^*(u)$ for each value of σ'/σ. In a sense the overlap length is infinite, since there is a finite residual correlation (although there is a flow from infinitesimal $\hat{T}$ towards its FP value). It is described by universal functions, e.g.:

$$C_{12}(x)/C_{11}(x) \rightarrow_{x\rightarrow\infty} F_d[\sigma'/\sigma] \quad (16)$$

with $F_d = (1+\tau)^{-1/2}$ near $d = 4$, τ being solution of (15). It exhibits, to one loop accuracy, a small δ singularity, from (15), $\tau \sim \delta/\sqrt{\ln(1/\delta)}$. An interesting quantity to compute numerically is the ratio of center of mass fluctuations (adding a mass m, i.e. harmonic well) $C_{12}(q=0)/C_{11}(q=0) \rightarrow_{m\rightarrow 0} G_d[\sigma'/\sigma]$ with $G_d = F_d$ near $d = 4$ [32].

To discuss smaller scales ($\sim L_\delta$) we recall the droplet picture. Let u_1 be the ground state (GS) of V_1. A small SR perturbation $V_2 - V_1 \sim \delta$ yields a new GS u_2. For small system size $L \ll L_\delta$ $u_1(x) \approx u_2(x)$ and the change in GS disorder energy is $\sim \overline{(E_1 - E_2)^2}^{1/2} \sim \delta L^{d/2}$, where $E_i = \int d^dxV(x, u^i(x))$. The (small) probability p that another low energy state becomes more favorable inducing a (rare) large switch $u_2 - u_1 \sim L^\zeta$ is thus $p \sim \epsilon/L^\theta \sim \delta L^{(d/2)-\theta} \sim \delta L^{\frac{\epsilon-4\zeta}{2}}$, as in thermal droplets. p becomes of order one at scale L_δ. How does the FRG capture this physics ? Consider the growth at short scale of $\overline{(F_1 - F_2)^2} \sim \Delta RL^{2\theta}$ with $\Delta R = \tilde{R}_{11}(0) - \tilde{R}_{12}(0)$. From (6) one finds $\partial_l\Delta R = (d-2\theta)\Delta R - \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}^2$. This suggests that ΔR grows as $\delta^2L^{d-2\theta}$ unaffected by the non linear term, until it reaches a FP value $O(1)$, and reproduces the droplet result at least for energy correlations.

However, more complex things could happen, as seen on displacement correlations. The GS difference $\overline{(u_1 - u_2)^2} \sim \hat{T} L^{2\zeta}$ is determined by the initial growth of $\hat{T} \sim L^a$. Within droplets $\hat{T}$ is proportional to p the probability of rare GS switch, and $a = a_{drop} = d/2 - \theta$. Rare GS switches induced by thermal fluctuations was shown to be encoded in the Thermal BL [26]. Here, we expect that the Chaos BL (CBL) for $\tilde{\Delta}(u)$ describes switches induced by disorder perturbations (higher moments of responses being encoded in derivatives $\tilde{\Delta}^{(2n)}(0)$). We found evidence for CBL solutions of the system (7,8) of the form $\tilde{\Delta}(u) = \tilde{\Delta}(0) + \hat{T}\phi(u/\hat{T}) + O(\hat{T}^2)$ and indications of a non trivial $a < a_{drop}$. However, firm analytical as well as numerical determination of a is *very* delicate [33]. A non trivial $a \neq a_{drop}$ arising from the CBL would require better understanding of minimization on all scales.

That (7,8) yields a rich variety of behaviours is clear from e.g. the case of "subdominant chaos" $V_i(u) = V(u) \pm v(u)$ where $V(u)$ is e.g. RF disorder and $v(u)$ RB disorder. Then the ground state should not change at large scale since $\delta L^{d/2} \ll L^{\theta_{RF}}$ with $\theta_{RF} = (d+2)/3$. $\hat{T}$ should spontaneously flow to zero (correlations increase with scale) with $a_{drop} = d/2 - \theta_{RF} = -\epsilon/6$ and a (self-organized) CBL solution should form, similar to the TBL. Demonstrating such RG flow, and testing universal relations with thermal moments in $d = 0$ is in progress.

Temperature chaos, i.e. two copies with different temperatures T_i, is described by Eq. (6) adding the term $\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{T}_i + \tilde{T}_j)\tilde{R}''_{ij}$, with $\tilde{T}_i \sim T_i e^{-\theta l}$. The mutual correlator $\tilde{\Delta}$ still satisfies (7) with: $\hat{T} \to \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{T}_1 + \tilde{T}_2) + \hat{T}$ where now $\hat{T} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\Delta}_{11}(0) + \tilde{\Delta}_{22}(0)) - \tilde{\Delta}_{12}(0)$. Even for identical bare disorder, one finds that although $\partial_l \hat{T}|_{l=0} = 0$ one has $\partial_l^2 \hat{T}|_{l=0} = -\frac{1}{4}(T_1 - T_2)^2 \Delta''''_{11}(0)$ thus an effective non zero $\hat{T}_{bare}$, and an independent *short range* $\tilde{\Delta}_{11}(u) - \tilde{\Delta}_{12}(u)$ is generated at small scales from entropic difference between the two copies. Apart from there being an additional scale where the decreasing $\tilde{T}_i$ cross the growing $\hat{T}$, the flow of $\tilde{\Delta}_{12}$ at larger scales, and around L_δ is similar (in the SR case) to disorder chaos.

For dynamic chaos at depinning our result yields [31] $\mu_{dep} = 3\zeta_{dep} - \epsilon$, with $\mu_{dep} > 0$ from two loop corrections [21], and thus slow decay of mutual correlations of critical configurations at f_c. However, it hold strictly in the fixed (or bounded) average center of mass ensemble, and a better treatment of the mode $q = 0$ may be necessary [34, 35]. Eq. (7,8) without the term $\tilde{\Delta}'(u)^2$ and $\epsilon = \zeta = 0$, describes the *moving Bragg glass* in $d = 3$. One finds [36] residual correlations as in (16), with $F_{d=3} \approx \int_0^1 du \Delta_{12}(u)^2/(2\Delta_{11}(0)^2) + O(\delta^3)$ in terms of the bare disorder.

To conclude we applied FRG to chaos in pinned systems and obtained the residual correlations. In long range disorder these do not decay and are described by new FRG fixed points. The absence of chaos there is reminiscent of weaker or absent chaos in infinite range models of more complex glasses. Depinning and some quantum systems can be studied by this method. The self-organized chaos BL in the field theory uncovered here remains to be fully understood. We hope to stimulate numerical and experimental studies of correlations [37].

We thank K. Wiese, L. Balents, O. Duemmer, T. Giamarchi, W. Krauth, C. Monthus, A. Rosso and G. Schehr for discussions and ongoing collaborations.

[1] S.R. McKay et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** 767 (1982).
[2] A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore Phys. Rev. Lett. **58** 57 (1987).
[3] D.S. Fisher, D.A. Huse Phys. Rev. B **38** 373 (1988), D. S. Fisher and D. A. Huse Phys. Rev. B **43** 10728 (1991).
[4] J. Kisker et al. Phys.Rev. B **53** 6418 (1996), J. Kisker, H. Rieger Phys. Rev. B 58, R8873 (1998).
[5] M. Ney-Nifle, cond-mat/9707172. A. Billoire, E. Marinari, cond-mat/0202473. T. Rizzo and A. Crisanti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** 137201 (2003), I. Kondor, A. Vegso, J. Phys. A **26** L641 (1993).
[6] M. Sasaki et al. Eur. Phys. J. B 29, 469 (2002)
[7] C. Bolle *et al.*, Nature **399**, 43, (1999). Y. Fasano et al. Phys. Rev. B **62** 15183 (2000).
[8] J. Lye et al. cond-mat/0412167, A. Sanpera et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 040401 (2004), U. Gavish et al. cond-mat/0412671, S. Morrison et al. in preparation.
[9] S. Lemerle et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 849.
[10] G. Grüner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 1129 (1988).
[11] G. Blatter et al. Rev. Mod. Phys. **66** 1125 (1994); T. Nattermann and S. Scheidl Adv. Phys. **49** 607 (2000).
[12] T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal in *Spin glasses and random fields* Ed. A. P. Young, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998, and Phys. Rev. **B52** 1242 (1995).
[13] S. Moulinet, C. Guthmann, E. Rolley, Eur. Phys. J. **A8** 437 (2002). P. Le Doussal et al. cond-mat/0411652.
[14] A. Rosso et al. Phys. Rev. E 67, 021602 (2003).
[15] Y. Shapir, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** 1473 (1991).
[16] Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** 2125 (1987) M. V. Feigel'man and V. M. Vinokur Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** 1139 (1988). M. Sales and H. Yoshino, Phys. Rev. E 65, 066131 (2002).
[17] R. A. Silveira, J.P. Bouchaud Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 015901 (2004)
[18] T. Hwa, D.S. Fisher Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** 2466 (1994).
[19] D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1964 (1986),
[20] T. Nattermann et al. J. Phys. (Paris) **2**, 1483 (1992). O. Narayan, D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 11520 (1992).
[21] P. Chauve, P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 1785. P. Le Doussal, KJ. Wiese and P. Chauve, Phys Rev B **66**, 174201 (2002) and Phys Rev E **69**, 026112 (2004).
[22] P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** 125702 (2002), Phys. Rev. B **68** 17402 (2003)
[23] M. Mézard, G. Parisi J. Phys. I (France) **1** 809 (1991).
[24] P. Le Doussal, T. Giamarchi Phys. Rev. B. **57** 11356 (1998).
[25] P. Chauve et al. Phys. Rev. B **62** 6241 (2000).
[26] L. Balents and P. Le Doussal, Europhys. Lett. **65**, 685

(2004), Annals of Phys. **315** 213 (2005).

[27] shocks arise in each coarse grained energy landscape, but randomness in their relative position appears to smooth the second cumulant (as toy models suggest). Nonanalytic $\tilde{\Delta}$ yields additional contradictions (e.g. $\tilde{R}'(0^+) \neq 0$).

[28] G. Schehr, private communication.

[29] Mixing of $\nabla u_a^1 \nabla u_b^2$ operator (yielding a naive $\mu = d - 2$) and FRG should affect little accuracy of (11) in $d = 3$.

[30] To one loop one finds $\overline{F_1^2}^c \sim \frac{1}{2} A_d^{-1} \tilde{R}_{11}^{*\prime\prime}(0)^2 L^{2\theta} (mL)^{d-2\theta}$.

[31] Analysis of higher loops, though delicate, suggests that (13) holds to all orders with the exact value for ζ.

[32] General LR disorder similarly yields lines of FP. Another solvable case is $\Delta_{ij}(u) \sim -\sigma_{ij} \ln|u|$, $F_d = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{11}}}$.

[33] O. Duemmer and P. Le Doussal, in progress

[34] some rare small change in disorder yield large forward jump and exploration of new environment. Chaos effects may thus be entangled with the statistics of avalanches.

[35] In periodic depinning $\tilde{\Delta}_{11}(0) e^{\epsilon l}$ at the FP. Residual correlations vanish unless $\int \tilde{\Delta}$ is corrected in higher loop.

[36] P. Le Doussal, in preparation.

[37] Our result also holds for contact line elasticity.